

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 2.8 | Small section of HCW contact networks generated based on EMR login data using different definitions of a contact. (a) Contact graph generated with $d = 1, t = 0$. (b) Contact graph generated with $d = 3, t = 15$. (c) Contact graph generated with $d = 5, t = 30$ | 22 |
| 2.9 | These statistics show that the computers-people graph is relatively sparse. However, both person-degrees and computer-degrees show a large standard deviation raising the possibility of a few heavily used computers and a few highly mobile healthcare workers. | 24 |
| 2.10 | Small portion of a computers-people graph for a 4-week period. Computers are marked by black dots and are shown in their actual location on the 4th floor of the UIHC. Healthcare workers are shown as white dots and the edges connect healthcare workers to the computers they have logged into during the 4-week time window. | 25 |
| 2.11 | Basic structural features of a <i>sparse</i> ₁ ($d = 1, t = 0$), <i>moderate</i> ₁ ($d = 3, t = 15$), and <i>dense</i> ₁ ($d = 5, t = 30$) instance of the HCW contact network are shown here. Note that the dense graph is only dense relative to the sparse graph; the average degree of even the dense graph is less than 1% of the graph size. For all three graphs we use time window $T = 001$, i.e., a 4-week time window starting at the second week of our EMR login data. For comparison, the corresponding statistics for Erdős-Renyi random graphs with same size (n) and same mean degree ($\langle k \rangle$) are also provided. | 27 |
| 2.12 | Degree distribution of the <i>moderate</i> ₁ HCW contact network and max likelihood power-law (dashed line) and log-normal (light curve) fits. (a) log-log plot; each point ($d, p(d)$) represents the fraction $p(d)$ of healthcare workers with degree d in the moderate HCW contact network. (b) cumulative form; each point ($d, c(d)$) represents the fraction $c(d)$ of healthcare workers with degree at most d is shown here. | 28 |
| 2.13 | Modularity values for partitions of <i>sparse</i> ₁ , <i>moderate</i> ₁ , and <i>dense</i> ₁ graphs obtained via different methods. The modularity of the partition induced by “job class” falls well below the 0.3 threshold for a strong community structure, mentioned by Newman [80]. The last row shows modularity values for partitions obtained by using a greedy clustering algorithm, called maxQ, due to Clauset et al. [29] | 30 |
| 2.14 | Cumulative density plots for the (a) degree distribution and (b) distance distribution for each of the largest 10 groups partitioned by (department, job title) pairs. The plots show, for a particular x -value, the fraction of healthcare workers whose degree (respectively, distance traveled) is at most the x -value. Thickness of the line indicates the size of the group. | 34 |