

5. each h_i is integrably bounded.

Then the game \mathcal{G} has a Bayesian equilibrium.

Proof: The result follows directly from Corollary 3.5. To see this, note that since each $h_i(\omega, \cdot)$ is continuous and h_i is integrably bounded by virtue of the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we can automatically conclude that the function

$$v_i(\omega, \cdot) = \int_{E(\omega)} q_i(t|E(\omega))h(t, \cdot) d\mu(t)$$

is continuous, where

$$q_i(t|E(\omega)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \notin E(\omega); \\ \frac{q_i(t)}{\int_{E(\omega)} q_i(s) d\mu(s)}, & \text{if } t \in E(\omega). \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, it can be easily seen that each function $v_i(\cdot, x)$ is S -measurable. Finally, it follows from (4) that for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and each $\hat{x}_i \in \hat{X}_i$ that the function $v_i(\omega, x_i, \hat{x}_i)$ is concave in x_i . We consider the Bayesian game $\mathcal{G} = \{(X_i, h_i, S, q_i) : i \in I\}$ as a random game $\mathcal{E} = \{(X_i, v_i) : i \in I\}$. Obviously, the existence of a random Nash equilibrium for the game \mathcal{E} implies the existence of a Bayesian equilibrium for the game \mathcal{G} . It can be easily seen that the random game \mathcal{E} , satisfies the assumptions of Corollary 3.5 and consequently, the game \mathcal{E} has a random Nash equilibrium.⁴ Hence, there exists an S -measurable function $x^* : \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that

$$v_i(\omega, x^*(\omega)) = \max_{x_i \in X_i} v_i(\omega, x_i^*(\omega), \dots, x_{i-1}^*(\omega), y_i, x_{i+1}^*(\omega), \dots)$$

for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $i \in I$. In other words, x^* is a Bayesian equilibrium for the game $\mathcal{G} = \{(X_i, h_i, S, q_i) : i \in I\}$, and the proof of the theorem is finished. ■

5. Asymmetric Bayesian games

We now turn our attention to the rather more interesting case where the information set of each player is different.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{(X_i, h_i, S, q_i) : i \in I\}$ be a Bayesian game as described before. Denote by L_{X_i} the set of all Bochner integrable and S_i -measurable selections from the strategy set X_i of player i , i.e.,

$$L_{X_i} = \{x_i \in L_1(\mu, Y) : x_i \text{ is } S_i\text{-measurable and } x_i(\omega) \in X_i \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } \omega\}.$$

⁴Note that the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 and Corollaries 3.3 and 3.5 remain unchanged if the measurability assumptions on either the preference correspondence P_i or the payoff function u_i of each player are made with respect to the algebra generated by the partition S_i instead of Σ .