

this relation can be extended<sup>7</sup> to a reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive relation  $\leq$  on  $D$  (i.e., a *partial ordering*) which is given by

$\alpha \leq \beta$  if either (i)  $\alpha = \beta$ , or (ii) there are elements  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$  of  $D$  such that

$$\alpha = \gamma_1, \quad \beta = \gamma_k \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \dots < \gamma_k.$$

A simple calculation, using (ii), the triangle inequality and the fact that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = 1$ , shows that if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  then

$$\text{dist}(z, F_{\beta}^+) \leq 4 \cdot s^{-n_{\alpha}} \|z\|$$

for each  $z \in F_{\alpha}^+$ . We next show that the partial ordering  $\leq$  actually directs the set  $D$ .

*Lemma 7.3.* *The set  $D$  of special configurations, equipped with the partial ordering  $\leq$ , is a directed set. That is, if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  belong to  $D$  then there is a  $\gamma$  in  $D$  for which  $\alpha \leq \gamma$  and  $\beta \leq \gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F_{\alpha}$  and  $F_{\beta}$  are finite-dimensional, their unit spheres are compact. Hence we can choose finite sets of vectors  $\{x_1, \dots, x_J\} \subset F_{\alpha}$  and  $\{y_1, \dots, y_K\} \subset F_{\beta}$  such that  $\|x_j\| = 1$  for each  $j$ ;  $\|y_k\| = 1$  for each  $k$ ; for each  $x \in F_{\alpha}$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ , there is an index  $j$  with  $\|x - x_j\| < 2^{-2-n_{\alpha}}$  and for each  $y \in F_{\beta}$ , with  $\|y\| = 1$ , there is an index  $k$  with  $\|y - y_k\| < 2^{-2-n_{\beta}}$ . We now use Lemma 7.2 to choose a special configuration  $\gamma$  such that  $1/n_{\gamma} < 1/(n_{\alpha} + n_{\beta})$  (which means  $n_{\gamma} > n_{\alpha} + n_{\beta}$ ),  $\text{dist}(x_j, F_{\gamma}^+) < 2^{-n_{\alpha}-2}$  for each  $j$  and  $\text{dist}(y_k, F_{\gamma}^+) < 2^{-n_{\beta}-2}$  for each  $k$ . Since the norm of  $F_{\gamma}$  is positively homogeneous, the triangle inequality and our choice of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_J\}$  and  $\{y_1, \dots, y_K\}$  imply that  $\text{dist}(x, F_{\gamma}^+) \leq 2^{-n_{\alpha}} \|x\|$  for each  $x \in F_{\alpha}^+$  and  $\text{dist}(y, F_{\gamma}^+) \leq 2^{-n_{\beta}} \|y\|$  for each  $y \in F_{\beta}^+$ . Thus  $\alpha < \gamma$  and  $\beta < \gamma$ ; in particular,  $\alpha \leq \gamma$  and  $\beta \leq \gamma$ , as desired.  $\square$

With all of the preliminary constructions out of the way, we now turn to the main argument.

*Proof of the Main Existence Theorem.* For each special configuration  $\alpha = (F_{\alpha}, n_{\alpha}, e_1^{\alpha}, \dots, e_N^{\alpha})$  we define consumption sets  $X_i^{\alpha}$  and preference relations  $p_i^{\alpha}: \prod X_j^{\alpha} \rightarrow 2^{X_i^{\alpha}}$  by

<sup>7</sup>Any acyclic relation may always be extended to a reflexive, antisymmetric transitive relation by exactly this procedure.