

$$e_i^* = \sum_{l=1}^k c_{il} \chi_{V_l},$$

$$b_j^* = \sum_{l=1}^k d_{jl} \chi_{V_l}.$$

This construction guarantees that the functions  $e_i^*$ ,  $b_j^*$  are positive and satisfy the following inequalities for each  $w$  in  $\Omega$ :

$$e_i^*(w) \leq e_i(w) \quad \text{and} \quad b_j^*(w) \leq b_j(w),$$

$$|e_i^*(w) - e_i(w)| \leq \delta' \quad \text{and} \quad |b_j^*(w) - b_j(w)| \leq \delta'.$$

The first two inequalities imply that  $0 \leq e_i^* \leq e_i$  and  $0 \leq b_j^* \leq b_j$ . The second two inequalities, together with the fact that  $e(w) = 1$  for each  $w$ , imply that  $|e_i^* - e_i| \leq \delta' e$  and  $|b_j^* - b_j| \leq \delta' e$ . By the lattice property of the norm (and the fact that  $\delta' \|e\| < \delta$ ) this yields

$$\|e_i^* - e_i\| < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|b_j^* - b_j\| < \delta.$$

It remains only to show that  $\sum e_i^*$  is strictly positive in  $K$ . Equivalently, we must show that for each  $l$ , at least one of the coefficients  $c_{il}$  is strictly positive. Fix a point  $w_l$  in  $V_l$ . Since  $e(w_l) = 1$ , there is at least one  $e_i$  such that  $e_i(w_l) \geq 1/N$ . Since the variation of  $e_i$  on  $V_l$  is at most  $\delta'$ , this means that  $c_{il} \geq (1/N) - \delta' > 0$ , as required. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1.  $\square$

### 7. Proof of the Main Existence Theorem

We begin by isolating parts of the argument as lemmas. The first one will be useful elsewhere, so we establish an appropriately general version; it is closely related to a finite-dimensional result of McKenzie (1959).

*Lemma 7.1.* *Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{(X_i, P_i, e_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$  be an irreducible economy in the Banach lattice  $L$ . Assume that  $X_i = L^+$  for each  $i$ , and that the preference relation  $P_i$  is (norm, norm) continuous for each  $i$ . If  $((x_1, \dots, x_n), \pi)$  is a quasi-equilibrium for  $\mathcal{E}$  and there is a vector  $z \in L$  such that  $0 \leq z \leq \sum e_i$  and  $\pi(z) \neq 0$ , then  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, \pi)$  is actually an equilibrium.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  denote the set of agents  $i$  for which there is a vector  $\zeta$  with  $0 \leq \zeta \leq e_i$  and  $\pi(\zeta) \neq 0$ ; let  $J$  denote the complementary set of agents. We first show that the equilibrium conditions are satisfied for all agents in  $I$ .