

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** It suffices to prove that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F(x_i) \neq \emptyset$  for every finite subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $X$ . Suppose otherwise; i.e.,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F(x_i) = \emptyset$  for some finite subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $X$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the simplex spanned by the finite set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Since the topology induced on any finite dimensional subspace by the topology of  $Y$  is equivalent to the Euclidean topology,  $\Delta$  is homeomorphic to a Euclidean ball [Kelley and Namioka (1963, Theory 7.3, p. 49)]. Denote by  $d$  the Euclidean metric in the finite dimensional subspace spanned by  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Define  $\psi : \Delta \rightarrow 2^\Delta$  by  $\psi(x) = \{y \in \Delta : x \notin F(y)\}$ . Then for each  $x \in \Delta$ ,  $\psi(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Indeed at least one  $x_i$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) is in  $\psi(x)$ , for otherwise  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n F(x_i)$ . For each  $y \in \Delta$  let  $\psi^{-1}(y) = \{x \in \Delta : y \in \psi(x)\} = \Delta \setminus \{x \in \Delta : x \in F(y)\}$ . Since  $\{x \in \Delta : x \in F(y)\} = \Delta \cap F(y)$  is closed in  $\Delta$ , the set  $\psi^{-1}(y)$  is open in  $\Delta$  for each  $y \in \Delta$ . Define  $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow 2^\Delta = \text{con } \psi(x)$ . Then  $\phi$  is convex and nonempty valued. Moreover,  $\phi$  has open lower sections in  $\Delta$  [Yannelis and Prabhakar (1983, Lemma 5.1)]. Nonempty valueness of  $\phi$  implies that for every  $x \in \Delta$  there is a  $y \in \Delta$  such that  $x \in \phi^{-1}(y)$ . Hence, the collection  $\{\phi^{-1}(y) : y \in \Delta\}$  is an open cover of  $\Delta$ . But  $\Delta$  compact implies that there exists a finite set of points  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  such that  $\Delta \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi^{-1}(y_i)$ . Define  $\alpha_i : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by  $\alpha_i(x) = d(x, \Delta \setminus \phi^{-1}(y_i))$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Set  $g_i(x) = (\alpha_i(x)) / (\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(x))$  for all  $x \in \Delta$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then,  $g_i(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin \phi^{-1}(y_i)$ ,  $0 \leq g_i(x) \leq 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \Delta$ . Define  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  by  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x)y_i$ . Clearly  $f$  is continuous and for each  $i$  such that  $g_i(x) \neq 0$ ,  $x \in \phi^{-1}(y_i)$  or  $y_i \in \phi(x)$ . Hence,  $f(x)$  is a convex combination of points  $y_i$  in the convex set  $\phi(x)$  and so  $f(x) \in \phi(x)$  for all  $x \in \Delta$ . By Brouwer's fixed point theorem there exists  $x^* \in \Delta$  such that  $x^* = f(x^*) \in \phi(x^*) = \text{con } \psi(x^*)$ . But  $x^* \in \text{con } \psi(x^*)$  implies that there exist points  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  in  $\Delta$  and real numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_m$ ,  $a_j \geq 0$ , ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ),  $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j = 1$  such that  $x^* = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j y_j$  and  $y_j \in \psi(x^*)$  for all  $j$ . But  $y_j \in \psi(x^*)$  implies that  $x^* \notin F(y_j)$  for all  $j$ , a contradiction to assumption (i). Therefore  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F(x_i) \neq \emptyset$ , and this completes the proof.

## References

- Aliprantis, C. D. and Burkinshaw, O., 1985, *Positive Operators*, Academic Press, New York.