

- ii) $\pi_i : Q(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the *random profit function*² of firm i , (where $Q(\omega) = Q_1(\omega) \times \cdots \times Q_n(\omega)$);
- iii) \mathcal{F}_i is a sub σ -algebra of \mathcal{F} , which denotes the *private information* of firm i ;
- iv) μ is a probability measure on Ω denoting the *common prior*.

Let L_{Q_i} denote the set of all Bochner integrable and \mathcal{F}_i -measurable selections from the production set Q_i of firm i , i.e.,

$$L_{Q_i} = \{q_i \in L_1(\mu, Y) : q_i : \Omega \rightarrow Y \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_i\text{-measurable and } q_i(\omega) \in Q_i(\omega) \text{ and } \mu\text{-a.e.}\}.$$

Let $L_Q = L_{Q_1} \times \cdots \times L_{Q_n}$. Given a Cournot game, a production plan for firm i is an element $q_i \in L_{Q_i}$.

The *ex-ante expected profit function*³ of firm i , $\Pi_i : L_Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as⁴

$$\Pi_i(q_i, q_{-i}) = \int_{\omega \in \Omega} \pi_i(q_i(\omega), q_{-i}(\omega)) d\mu(\omega).$$

A *Cournot-Nash equilibrium* for $\mathcal{C} = \{(Q_i, \pi_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mu) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is an element $q^* \in L_Q$ such that for all i ,

$$\Pi_i(q^*) = \max_{y_i \in L_{Q_i}} \Pi_i(q_{-i}^*, y_i).$$

We can now state the assumptions needed to prove the existence of a Cournot-Nash equilibrium.

(A.1)

² If $p(\omega) : Q(\omega) \rightarrow R$ is the inverse demand function and $C_i : Q_i(\omega) \rightarrow R$ is the cost function of firm i , then $\pi_i(q(\omega)) = p(q(\omega))q_i(\omega) - C_i(q_i(\omega))$. We could have allowed the payoff function π to depend also on the state of nature ω . The results of the paper remain valid.

³ The entire analysis would go through if instead of the ex-ante profit function we used the interim one. That is, the *conditional (interim) expected profit function* of firm i $\Pi_i(\cdot, \cdot) : L_{Q_i} \times Q_{-i}(\omega) \rightarrow R$ is defined as

$$\Pi_i(q_i, \bar{q}_{-i}) = \int_{\omega' \in E_i(\omega)} \pi_i(\omega', q_i, \bar{q}_{-i}(\omega')) k_i(\omega' | E_i(\omega)) d\mu(\omega'),$$

where

$$k_i(\omega' | E_i(\omega)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \omega' \notin E_i(\omega) \\ \frac{q_i(\omega')}{\int_{\bar{\omega} \in E_i(\omega)} q_i(\bar{\omega}) d\mu(\bar{\omega})} & \text{if } \omega' \in E_i(\omega) \end{cases}$$

is the prior of agent i , (where k_i is a Radon-Nikodym derivative such that $\int k_i(\omega) d\mu(\omega) = 1$ and $E_i(\omega)$ denotes the event in firm i 's partition which contains the realized state of nature).

⁴ For simplicity we assume that the profit function does not depend on Ω . As we mentioned above all the results of the paper remain valid.