

that the Vps4_{ΔMIT,Q216A} protein remained dimeric over the entire concentration range tested (Fig. 4, panel 3, $MW_{obs} = 71,668$ g/mol, $MW_{obs}/MW_{monomer} = 1.90$). The Vps4_{ΔMIT} mobility was similarly unaffected by two other Vps4 Interface 1 mutations (N224A and M220D, data not shown), and we therefore conclude that Interface 1 does not mediate Vps4 dimerization in solution.

Four other classes of two-fold symmetric subunit contacts were seen in at least two different Vps4_{ΔMIT} crystal forms (Interfaces 2-5). However, these interfaces were approximately half the size of Interface 1, with average buried surface areas of: 350 ± 70 , 280 ± 130 Å², 320 ± 150 Å², and 360 ± 120 Å², respectively. None of these interfaces occurred in all of the reported crystal forms, and the residues within Interfaces 2, 4, and 5 are not well conserved across species. Interface 3 residues are well conserved, but this is likely explained by the fact that they form the “Rossmann fold” element of the nucleotide binding site. These observations imply that Interfaces 2-5 are also unlikely to mediate Vps4 dimerization in solution.

Interface 2 connects the two small domains of Vps4 and primarily involves contacts between residues in helices 7 and 9 in adjacent small domains. This interface is present in our Vps4_{ΔMIT}-SO₄ crystal structure (buried surface area, 330 Å²), and also in Vps4_{ΔMIT} crystals with a bound sulfate (2QP9) and without a bound ligand (2RKO) (430 Å², and 290 Å², respectively). Xiao et al. reported that two mutations within this interface (M330D and L407D) did not alter the gel filtration mobility of Vps4_{ΔMIT}²⁸. In good agreement with this report, we also found that the L407D mutation had no effect on the gel filtration mobility of Vps4_{ΔMIT} (Fig. 4b, panel