

THEOREM A Pareto optimal allocation can be supported by some $p \in R^\ell \setminus \{0\}$ if one of the following is satisfied.

- (1) \succeq_i is semi-strictly convex for every i and \succeq_1 is nonsatiated.
- (2) \succeq_i is transitive and convex for every i and \succeq_1 is locally nonsatiated.

COROLLARY If \succeq_i is transitive, convex, and continuous for every i , and \succ_1 is locally nonsatiated, a Pareto optimal allocation x^* with $x_i^* \in \text{int}X_i$ for every i is a Walrasian equilibrium allocation for some $p^* \in R^\ell \setminus \{0\}$ in the economy $\mathcal{E}^* = \{(X_i, \succeq_i, e_i^*) : i \in I\}$ with $e_i^* = x_i^*$ for every i .

THEOREM A weakly Pareto optimal allocation can be supported by some $p \in R^\ell \setminus \{0\}$ if one of the following is satisfied.

- (1) \succeq_i is semi-strictly convex and nonsatiated for every i .
- (2) \succeq_i is transitive, convex, and locally nonsatiated for every i .

COROLLARY If \succeq_i is transitive, convex, continuous, locally nonsatiated for every i , a weakly Pareto optimal allocation x^* with $x_i^* \in \text{int}X_i$ for every i is a Walrasian equilibrium allocation for some $p^* \in R^\ell \setminus \{0\}$ in the economy $\mathcal{E}^* = \{(X_i, \succeq_i, e_i^*) : i \in I\}$ with $e_i^* = x_i^*$ for every i .