inorganic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Nioboaeschynite-(Ce), Ce(NbTi)O₆

Shaunna M. Morrison,^a* Robert T. Downs,^a Kenneth J. Domanik,^b Hexiong Yang^a and Donald Doell^c

^aDepartment of Geosciences, University of Arizona, 1040 E. 4th Street, Tucson, Arizona 85721-0077, USA, ^bLunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, 1629 E. University Boulevard, Tucson, AZ 85721-0092, USA, and ^c122 Dublin Street, Peterborough, Ontario, K9H 3A9, Canada Correspondence e-mail: shaunnamm@email.arizona.edu

Received 7 June 2012; accepted 11 July 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (Nb–O) = 0.002 Å; disorder in main residue; R factor = 0.023; wR factor = 0.055; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.1.

Nioboaeschynite-(Ce), ideally Ce(NbTi)O₆ [cerium(III) niobium(V) titanium(IV) hexaoxide; refined formula of the natural sample is Ca_{0.25}Ce_{0.79}(Nb_{1.14}Ti_{0.86})O₆], belongs to the aeschynite mineral group which is characterized by the general formula $AB_2(O,OH)_6$, where eight-coordinated A is a rare earth element, Ca, Th or Fe, and six-coordinated B is Ti, Nb, Ta or W. The general structural feature of nioboaeschynite-(Ce) resembles that of the other members of the aeschynite group. It is characterized by edge-sharing dimers of [(Nb,Ti)O₆] octahedra which share corners to form a threedimensional framework, with the A sites located in channels parallel to the b axis. The average A-O and B-O bond lengths in nioboaeschynite-(Ce) are 2.471 and 1.993 Å, respectively. Moreover, another eight-coordinated site, designated as the C site, is also located in the channels and is partially occupied by A-type cations. Additionally, the refinement revealed a splitting of the A site, with Ca displaced slightly from Ce (0.266 Å apart), presumably resulting from the crystal-chemical differences between the Ce³⁺ and Ca²⁺ cations.

Related literature

For background on the aeschynite mineral group, see: Zhabin et al. (1960); Aleksandrov (1962); Jahnberg (1963); Fauquier & Gasperin (1970); Ewing & Ehlmann (1975); Rosenblum & Mosier (1975); Giuseppetti & Tadini (1990); Bonazzi & Menchetti (1999); Yang et al. (2001); Golobic et al. (2004); Ercit (2005); Škoda & Novák (2007); Thorogood et al. (2010). For studies on the semiconducting properties of compounds with aeschynite-type structures, see: Kan & Ogawa (2008); Sumi et al. (2010). For studies of phosphorescent compounds with aeschynite-type structures, see: Ma et al. (2007); Qi et al. (2010). For information on ionic radii, see: Shannon (1976).



mm

Experimental

Crystal data

Ca _{0.25} Ce _{0.79} (Nb _{1.14} Ti _{0.86})O ₆	$V = 448.33 (10) \text{ Å}^3$
$M_r = 363.83$	Z = 4
Orthorhombic, Pnma	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 11.0563 (15) Å	$\mu = 12.06 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 7.560 (1) Å	$T = 293 { m K}$
c = 5.3637 (7) Å	$0.06 \times 0.06 \times 0.05$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector	3666 measured reflections
diffractometer	883 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	737 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2005)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.026$
$T_{\min} = 0.532, \ T_{\max} = 0.584$	

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$	55 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.055$	2 restraints
S = 1.09	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 2.07 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
883 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.80 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: Xtal-Draw (Downs & Hall-Wallace, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

The authors acknowledge the funding support from the Arizona Science Foundation and NASA NNX11AP82A, Mars Science Laboratory Investigations. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: WM2645).

References

- Aleksandrov, V. B. (1962). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 142, 181-184.
- Bonazzi, P. & Menchetti, S. (1999). Eur. J. Mineral. 11, 1043-1049.
- Bruker (2004). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Downs, R. T. & Hall-Wallace, M. (2003). Am. Mineral. 88, 247-250.
- Ercit, T. S. (2005). Can. Mineral. 43, 1291-1303.
- Ewing, R. C. & Ehlmann, A. J. (1975). Can. Mineral. 13, 1-7.
- Fauquier, D. & Gasperin, M. (1970). Bull. Soc. Fr. Minéral. Cristallogr. 93, 258-2.59
- Giuseppetti, G. & Tadini, C. (1990). Neues Jahrb. Mineral. Mh. 1990, 301-308.
- Golobic, A., Skapin, S. D., Suvorov, D. & Meden, A. (2004). Croat. Chem. Acta, 77, 435-446.
- Jahnberg, L. (1963). Acta Chem. Scand. 71, 2548-2559.
- Kan, A. & Ogawa, H. (2008). Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 47, 7716-7720.
- Ma, Q., Zhang, A., Lü, M., Zhou, Y., Qiu, Z. & Zhou, G. (2007). J. Phys. Chem. B, 111, 12693-12699.
- Qi, X. D., Liu, C. M. & Kuo, C. C. (2010). J. Alloys Compd, 492, L61-L63.
- Rosenblum, S. & Mosier, E. L. (1975). Am. Mineral. 60, 309-315.
- Shannon, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2005). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Škoda, R. & Novák, M. (2007). Lithos, 95, 43-57.
- Sumi, S., Prabhakar Rao, P., Deepa, M. & Koshy, P. (2010). J. Appl. Phys. 108, 1 - 9.

Thorogood, G. J., Avdeev, M. & Kennedy, B. J. (2010). Solid State Sci. 12, 1263–1269.
Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920–925.

- Yang, Z., Smith, M., Henderson, P., Lebas, M., Tao, K. & Zhang, P. (2001). *Eur. J. Mineral.* **13**, 1207–1214.
- Zhabin, A. G., Mukhitdinov, G. N. & Kazakova, M. Y. (1960). Inst. Mineral. Geokhim. Krystallokhim. Redk. Elem. 4, 51–73.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, i64-i65 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812031765]

Nioboaeschynite-(Ce), Ce(NbTi)O₆

Shaunna M. Morrison, Robert T. Downs, Kenneth J. Domanik, Hexiong Yang and Donald Doell

Comment

Minerals of the aeschynite group exhibit the CaTa₂O₆-structure type with space group *Pnma* and Z = 4. They can be characterized by the general formula $AB_2(O,OH)_6$, where 8-coordinated A is a rare earth element (*REE*), Ca, Th, Fe, and 6-coordinated B is Ti, Nb, Ta, W. There are eight members of this group in the current list of minerals approved by the International Mineralogical Association (IMA), including aeschynite-(Ce) (Ce,Ca,Fe,Th)(Ti,Nb)₂(O,OH)₆, aeschynite-(Nd) Nd(Ti,Nb)₂(O,OH)₆, aeschynite-(Y) (Y,Ca,Fe,Th)(Ti,Nb)₂(O,OH)₆, nioboaeschynite-(Ce) (Ce,Ca) (Nb,Ti)₂(O,OH)₆, nioboaeschynite-(Y) (Y,REE,Ca,Th,Fe)(Nb,Ti,Ta)₂(O,OH)₆, tantalaeschynite-(Y) Y(Ta,Ti,Nb)₂O₆, vigezzite (Ca,Ce)(Nb,Ta,Ti)₂ O_6 and rynersonite CaTa₂ O_6 . Aeschynite-type materials have been the subject of numerous investigations for their industrial and scientific importance, for example, as phosphors (Ma et al., 2007; Qi et al., 2010) and as semiconductors for their microwave dielectric properties in ceramics (Kan & Ogawa, 2008; Sumi et al., 2010). There have been a number of structure studies on synthetic aeschynite-group materials, such as $CaTa_2O_6$ (Jahnberg, 1963), LaNbTiO₆ (Fauquier & Gasperin, 1970; Golobic *et al.*, 2004), and *REE*TiTaO₆ (*REE* = La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu) (Thorogood et al., 2010). However, due to prevalent metamictization in natural samples, only the crystal structures of aeschynite-(Ce) (Aleksandrov, 1962), aeschynite-(Y) (Bonazzi & Menchetti, 1999), vigezzite (Giuseppetti & Tadini, 1990), and rynersonite (Jahnberg, 1963) have been reported thus far. Among them, the structure of aeschynite-(Y) is of particular interest, because, besides the A and B sites, an additional, partially occupied cation site, designated as the C- site, was observed (Bonazzi & Menchetti, 1999). The coordination environment of the Csite in this mineral is similar to that of the A- site, but the shortest C—O bond length (C—O4) is only ~2.10 Å, similar to that of the B—O bonds. As all five natural aeschynite-(Y) samples examined by Bonazzi & Menchetti (1999) contain excess B-type cations (B > 2.0 atoms per formula unit; apfu) and are deficient in A-type cations (A < 1.0 apfu) with respect to the ideal chemical formula, a B-type cation (W) was thus assigned to the C-site. Yet, due to the close proximity of the A- and C-sites (~2.5 Å apart), Bonazzi & Menchetti (1999) assumed that the occupancy of the C-site is coupled with a vacancy in the A-site, giving rise to the structure formula $A_{1,x}B_2C_x(O,OH)_6$.

Nioboaeschynite-(Ce) from the Vishnevy Mountains, Russia was first described by Zhabin *et al.* (1960) and later from the Tanana quadrangle, central Alaska by Rosenblum & Mosier (1975). In both studies unit-cell parameters were determined, but not the crystal structures. Owing to its metamict nature, subsequent studies involving nioboaeschynite-(Ce) were mainly focused on chemical variations within the group and compositional trends between the aeschynite group and the closely-related euxenite group (Ewing & Ehlmann, 1975; Yang *et al.*, 2001; Ercit, 2005; Škoda & Novák, 2007). Notably, the aeschynite-(Ce) sample used in the structure refinement by Aleksandrov (1962) contained 50.5% Nb and 49.5% Ti, thus making it effectively nioboaeschynite-(Ce), according to current IMA nomenclature. Regardless, the structure of this mineral was only determined on the basis of photographic intensity data with R = 12.5%. In the course of identifying minerals for the RRUFF project (http://rruff.info), we found a well crystallized nioboaeschynite-(Ce) sample from the Upper Fir carbonatite, Kamloops mining division, British Columbia, Canada and

determined its structure by means of single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

The structure of nioboaeschynite-(Ce) is very similar to that of the aeschynite-(Y) reported by Bonazzi & Menchetti (1999), including the presence of an additional, partially occupied C-site. The general structural feature of nioboaeschynite-(Ce) are edge-sharing dimers of [(Nb,Ti)O₆] octahedra that share corners to form a three-dimensional framework, with the 8-coordinated A- and C-sites located in the channels running parallel to the b axis (Figs. 1.2). The average A—O, B—O, and C—O bond lengths are 2.471, 1.993, and 2.474 Å, respectively, which are all longer than the corresponding ones (~2.393, 1.979, and 2.39 Å) in aeschynite-(Y) (Bonazzi & Menchetti, 1999). Interestingly, the shortest bond length within the [CO₈] polyhedron is the C—O4 bond in aeschynite-(Y) (~2.11 Å) (Bonazzi & Menchetti, 1999), whereas it is C-O3 in nioboaeschynite-(Ce) [2.27 (1) Å]. This difference appears to correlate with the increase in the C—O4 distance associated with decreasing Ti content (or increasing Nb and Ta content) in the B-site, while the C— O3 bond length is essentially invariable with Ti content (Fig. 3). In this study, we assigned some A-type cations to the Csite, because (1) the shortest C—O bond in our specimen is significantly longer than that in aeschynite-(Y) (Bonazzi & Menchetti, 1999) and (2) our sample contains excess A-type cations, rather than excess B-type cations, as in the aeschynite-(Y) samples analyzed by Bonazzi & Menchetti (1999). Accordingly, we propose the structural formula $AB_2C_rO_6$ for the nioboaeschynite-(Ce) from the Upper Fir carbonatite. Our results, coupled with that of the Bonazzi & Menchetti (1999) study, indicate that there is great flexibility in the formula of the aeschynite groups minerals due to the occupancy variations permitted by the C-site. Furthermore, we detected a splitting of the A-site in our refinement, with Ca displaced slightly from Ce (0.266 Å apart). Although this site splitting may be related to the presence of some A-type cations in the C-site to minimize the cation-cation repulsion due to the short A—C distance (~ 2.4 Å), a 25% occupancy of A' by Ca does not agree with the 3.8% occupancy of C. The observed site splitting in our sample is, therefore, more likely a result of the different crystal-chemical behavior of the Ce³⁺ and Ca²⁺ cations.

From a mineralogical point of view, ideal chemical formulas are treated differently from those reported for synthetic compounds by chemists. There are no two grains of a mineral that will have exactly the same measured chemical composition; therefore, the ideal chemical formula of a mineral, as defined by the IMA, comes with understood tolerances. Ideal formulas are necessary to distinguish and designate one mineral species from another. In the case of nioboaeschynite-(Ce), the current IMA formula is (Ce,Ca)(Nb,Ti)₂(O,OH)₆, where we understand Ca, Ti, OH to be minor chemical components. An ideal formula given in this format has two possible meanings. One is that the Ca substitution at the Ce-containing A-site is minor, but essential to constrain the mineral into its observed crystal structure, as likewise for Ti at the Nb site, and OH is variable to account for charge balance. The other possibility is that the original workers described the formula this way because, while they could not decide if the minor elements were essential or not, the minor elements were common enough that they listed them in the formula anyway. However, the structural studies on synthetic aeschynite group crystals, including REETiTaO₆ (REE = La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb and Lu) compounds (Thorogood et al., 2010) and LaNbTiO₆ (Fauquier & Gasperin, 1970; Golobic et al. 2004), prove that the aeschynite structure is stable in the complete absence of Ca, and with an ideal 1:1 ratio of Ti:(Ta,Nb). Furthermore, because Nb⁵⁺ and Ta⁵⁺ have the same charge, the same ionic radius of 0.64 Å (Shannon, 1976), and exhibit similar chemical behavior, they can substitute for each other without affecting the Ti content (Škoda & Novák, 2007). Therefore, it seems reasonable to consider that the ideal nioboaeschynite-(Ce) chemical formula should be the charge-balanced Ce(NbTi)O₆, with (Nb,Ti) variations charge-balanced by variations in A-site chemistry, such as Ca²⁺ or Th⁴⁺. The modified ideal formula, Ce(NbTi)O₆, however, is problematic because it is likely the same ideal formula is applicable to aeschynite-(Ce), Ce(TiNb)O₆ (Aleksandrov, 1962). The two minerals are unnecessarily distinguished by the dominant cation at the B-site.

Experimental

The nioboaeschynite-(Ce) specimen used in this study is from the Upper Fir carbonatite, Kamloops mining division, British Columbia, Canada and is in the collection of the RRUFF project (deposition No. R110056; http://rruff.info). The chemical composition was measured with a CAMECA SX100 electron microprobe at the conditions of 25 keV, 20 nA, and a beam size of 10 μ m. An average of 26 analysis points yielded (wt. %): P₂O₅ 0.02, CaO 3.72, TiO₂ 18.38, FeO 0.59, SrO 0.18, Nb₂O₅ 40.12, Y₂O₃ 0.52, La₂O₃ 5.39, Ce₂O₃ 15.25, Pr₂O₃ 1.79, Nd₂O₃ 6.29, SmO 1.02, Gd₂O₃ 1/2, Ta₂O₅ 0.07, WO₃ 0.06, PbO 0.03, ThO₂ 4.08, UO₂ 0.22. The empirical chemical formula, calculated based on 6 O atoms, is (Ce_{0.35}Nd_{0.14}La_{0.12}Pr_{0.04}Sm_{0.02}Y_{0.02}Gd_{0.01}Ca_{0.25}Th_{0.06} Fe_{0.03}Sr_{0.01})_{\Sigma=1.05} (Nb_{1.14}Ti_{0.85})_{Σ=1.99}O₆. The formula is charge balanced and there is no evidence of OH in the sample's Raman spectra or structural analysis.

Refinement

During the structure refinement, due to similar X-ray scattering lengths, all rare earth elements were treated as Ce. A preliminary refinement revealed the presence of some cations in the C-site. Since our sample contains excess A-type cations (0.05 apfu), we subsequently refined the occupancy of the C-site using the scattering factors of Ce with an isotropic displacement parameter, which reduced the R1 factor from 0.0313 to 0.0281 and yielded a site occupancy of 0.04 Ce apfu. However, because of the chemical complexity of our sample, it is difficult to determine exactly what element(s) preferentially reside(s) in the C-site. According to the refinement, the C-site contains approximately 2.22 electrons. Based on the electron microprobe chemistry data, (Ce0.35Nd0.14La0.12Pr0.04Sm0.02Y0.02Gd0.01Ca0.25Th0.06 $Fe_{0.03}Sr_{0.01}\Sigma_{=1.05}(Nb_{1.14}Ti_{0.85})\Sigma_{=1.99}O_6$, there is no single element whose abundance would supply the C-site with the required number of electrons. However, the electrons supplied by a combination of *REE* and Th from the excess 0.05 atoms in the A-site, based on their respective abundances, is approximately 2.30. Worth noting is that if the excess 0.05 atoms were designated to be Ca, only one electron would be allotted to the C-site. Additionally, while the average C-site bond length does correspond to that of Ca—O, it also corresponds to that of the average bond length of (REE + Th). The average (8coordinated) Ca^{2+} ionic radius is 1.12 Å (Shannon, 1976) and the average (*REE* + Th) ionic radius is 1.126 Å (based on their abundances as determined by the microprobe chemistry data and their radii by Shannon, 1976). Therefore, Ce was chosen to represent (REE + Th) in the C-site. Moreover, from difference Fourier synthesis, we noticed a significant, positive residual peak that is ~0.2 Å from the A-site. An A-site splitting model was then assumed, with Ce occupying the A-site and Ca occupying the A'-site, which led to a further reduction of the R1 factor from 0.0281 to 0.0234. The refined occupancies are ~ 0.75 for the A-site and ~ 0.25 for the A'-site, matching the measured chemical component of Ca remarkably. In the final refinement, we assumed that the A- and B-sites are fully occupied by Ce/Ca and Nb/Ti, respectively, and their ratios were constrained to those determined from the electron microprobe analysis. Because of the strong correlation in the displacement parameters between the A- and A'-sites and the low occupancy at the C-site, only isotropic displacement parameters were refined for the A'- and C-sites. The highest residual peak in the difference Fourier maps was located at (0.5269, 1/4, 0.0261), 0.77 Å from the A-site, and the deepest hole at (0.3258, 0.7007, 0.0253), 0.50 Å from the *C*-site.

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2004); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: XtalDraw (Downs & Hall-Wallace, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).



Figure 1

The crystal structure of nioboaeschynite-(Ce). Pink octahedra and small blue spheres (with arbitrary radius) represent the $[(Nb,Ti)O_6]$ groups and *C*-site cations, respectively. Large green displacement ellipsoids at the 99% probability level represent the *A*-site cations.



Figure 2

The crystal structure of nioboaeschynite-(Ce) represented with displacement ellipsoids at the 99% probability level. Blue, pink and green ellipsoids represent (Nb,Ti), *A*-site Ce, and O atoms, respectively. Purple spheres, with arbitrary radius, represent *C*-site Ce atoms. For clarity, the *A*-site splitting is not shown.



Figure 3

Variations of the two shortest C—O bond lengths with the Ti content in the C-site of aeschynite-(Y) and nioboaeschynite-(Ce). Nioboaeschynite-(Ce) data points are from this study and all other data points for aeschynite-(Y) are taken from Bonazzi & Menchetti (1999).

calcium cerium(III) niobium(V) titanium(IV) hexaoxide

Crystal data

$Ca_{0.25}Ce_{0.79}(Nb_{1.14}Ti_{0.86})O_6$	F(000) = 650
$M_r = 363.83$	$D_{\rm x} = 5.315 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
Orthorhombic, Pnma	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2n	Cell parameters from 1243 reflections
a = 11.0563 (15) Å	$\theta = 4.6 - 32.6^{\circ}$
b = 7.560 (1) Å	$\mu = 12.06 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 5.3637 (7) Å	T = 293 K
$V = 448.33 (10) Å^3$	Tabular, metallic gray
Z = 4	$0.06 \times 0.06 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector 3666 measured reflections diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube $R_{\rm int} = 0.026$ Graphite monochromator φ and ω scan $\theta_{\text{max}} = 32.8^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 4.2^{\circ}$ $h = -15 \rightarrow 16$ Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2005) $k = -11 \rightarrow 4$ $T_{\rm min} = 0.532, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.584$ $l = -8 \rightarrow 8$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$

883 independent reflections 737 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $wR(F^2) = 0.055$ *S* = 1.09 883 reflections

55 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0239P)^2 + 1.525P]$
2 restraints	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 2.07 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier	$\Delta ho_{ m min} = -0.80 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
map	

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
CeA	0.45727 (9)	0.2500	0.03835 (14)	0.00893 (11)	0.7500(1)
CaA'	0.4338 (9)	0.2500	0.050(2)	0.018 (3)*	0.2500(1)
NbB	0.35726 (3)	0.50690 (5)	0.53830 (8)	0.01227 (11)	0.5700(1)
TiB	0.35726 (3)	0.50690 (5)	0.53830 (8)	0.01227 (11)	0.4300(1)
01	0.2875 (2)	0.4417 (3)	0.8720 (5)	0.0126 (5)	
02	0.5259 (2)	0.4615 (3)	0.7310 (4)	0.0105 (4)	
03	0.6221 (3)	0.2500	0.3389 (7)	0.0124 (7)	
04	0.3560 (3)	0.2500	0.4526 (7)	0.0123 (6)	
CeC	0.1586 (16)	0.2500	0.578 (3)	0.063 (6)*	0.038 (2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
CeA	0.0122 (3)	0.0059 (2)	0.0087 (2)	0.000	0.0005 (2)	0.000
NbB	0.01110 (19)	0.01448 (19)	0.01123 (19)	0.00121 (13)	-0.00065 (13)	0.00082 (14)
TiB	0.01110 (19)	0.01448 (19)	0.01123 (19)	0.00121 (13)	-0.00065 (13)	0.00082 (14)
01	0.0133 (11)	0.0109 (10)	0.0137 (12)	0.0014 (9)	0.0041 (9)	0.0014 (10)
O2	0.0127 (10)	0.0096 (10)	0.0091 (11)	-0.0004 (8)	0.0016 (8)	0.0005 (9)
O3	0.0114 (15)	0.0062 (14)	0.0195 (19)	0.000	0.0016 (13)	0.000
O4	0.0123 (15)	0.0066 (14)	0.0179 (18)	0.000	0.0016 (13)	0.000

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

CeA—O2 ⁱ	2.418 (2)	CaA'—O3	2.596 (12)	
CeA-O2 ⁱⁱ	2.418 (2)	NbB—O1 ^v	1.873 (2)	
CeA—O3	2.433 (4)	NbB—O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	1.953 (2)	
CeA—O4	2.488 (4)	NbB—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	1.9655 (14)	
CeA-O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.515 (2)	NbB—O4	1.9959 (10)	
CeA-O2 ^{iv}	2.515 (2)	NbB—O1	2.011 (3)	
CeA-O1 ⁱ	2.534 (3)	NbB—O2	2.159 (2)	
CeA-O1 ⁱⁱ	2.534 (3)	CeC—O3 ^{vi}	2.270 (19)	

CaA'—O4	2.327 (12)	CeC—04	2.282 (18)
CaA'—O1 ⁱ	2.372 (9)	CeC—O2 ^{vii}	2.401 (13)
CaA'—O1 ⁱⁱ	2.372 (9)	CeC—O2 ^{viii}	2.401 (13)
CaA'—O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.519 (6)	CeC—01	2.573 (16)
CaA'—O2 ^{iv}	2.519 (6)	CeC—O1 ^{ix}	2.573 (15)
CaA'—O2 ⁱ	2.551 (9)	CeC—O1 ^x	2.647 (9)
CaA'—O2 ⁱⁱ	2.551 (9)	CeC—O1 ^v	2.647 (9)
O1 ^v —NbB—O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	100.81 (11)	O3 ⁱⁱⁱ —NbB—O1	88.61 (13)
O1 ^v —NbB—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	93.71 (13)	O4—NbB—O1	87.91 (13)
O2 ⁱⁱⁱ —NbB—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	93.23 (13)	O1 ^v —NbB—O2	177.17 (10)
O1 ^v —NbB—O4	94.95 (13)	O2 ⁱⁱⁱ —NbB—O2	78.59 (11)
O2 ⁱⁱⁱ —NbB—O4	87.34 (13)	O3 ⁱⁱⁱ —NbB—O2	83.57 (12)
O3 ⁱⁱⁱ —NbB—O4	171.06 (15)	O4—NbB—O2	87.80 (12)
O1 ^v —NbB—O1	98.46 (6)	O1—NbB—O2	82.32 (10)
O2 ⁱⁱⁱ —NbB—O1	160.48 (10)		

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, -*y*+1/2, *z*-1; (ii) *x*, *y*, *z*-1; (iii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (iv) -*x*+1, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1; (v) -*x*+1/2, -*y*+1, *z*-1/2; (vi) *x*-1/2, *y*, -*z*+1/2; (vii) *x*-1/2, *y*, -*z*+3/2; (viii) *x*-1/2, *y*, -*z*+3/2; (ix) *x*, -*y*+1/2, *z*; (x) -*x*+1/2, *y*-1/2, *z*-1/2.

```
******
#
                                                                          #
# This CIF contains the data in a paper accepted for publication in
                                                                          #
# Acta Crystallographica Section E. It conforms to the requirements of
                                                                          #
# Notes for Authors for Acta Crystallographica Section E, and has been
                                                                          #
# peer reviewed under the auspices of the IUCr Commission on Journals.
                                                                          #
#
                                                                          #
                                                                          #
# Full details of the Crystallographic Information File format
# are given in the paper "The Crystallographic Information File (CIF):
                                                                          #
# a New Standard Archive File for Crystallography" by S. R. Hall, F. H.
                                                                          #
# Allen and I. D. Brown [Acta Cryst. (1991), A47, 655-685].
                                                                          #
#
                                                                          #
# The current version of the core CIF dictionary is obtainable from
                                                                          #
                                                                          #
# ftp://ftp.iucr.org/pub/cif_core.dic.
#
                                                                          #
# Software is freely available for graphical display of the structure(s)
                                                                          #
# in this CIF. For information consult the CIF software page
                                                                          #
# http://www.iucr.org/resources/cif/software.
                                                                          #
                                                                          #
#
                                                                          #
# This file may be used for bona fide research purposes within the
# scientific community so long as proper attribution is given to the journal #
# article from which it was obtained.
                                                                          #
#
                                                                          #
data_I
                          SHELXL-97
_audit_creation_method
_chemical_name_systematic
calcium cerium(III) niobium(V) titanium(IV) hexaoxide
;
_chemical_name_common
                         Nioboaeschynite-(Ce)
_chemical_formula_moiety
                           ?
_chemical_formula_sum
                         'Ca0.25 Ce0.79 Nb1.14 O6 Ti0.86'
_chemical_formula_iupac
                           'Ca0.25 Ce0.79 (Nb1.14 Ti0.86) O6'
_chemical_formula_weight
                           363.83
_chemical_melting_point
                           ?
_symmetry_cell_setting
                         orthorhombic
_symmetry_space_group_name_H-M
                                 'Pnma'
                                  '-P 2ac 2n'
_symmetry_space_group_name_hall
loop_
   _symmetry_equiv_pos_as_xyz
    'x, y, z'
    '-x+1/2, -y, z+1/2'
    '-x, y+1/2, -z'
    'x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1/2'
    '-x, -y, -z'
    'x-1/2, y, -z-1/2'
    'x, -y-1/2, z'
    '-x-1/2, y-1/2, z-1/2'
_cell_length_a
                 11.0563(15)
_cell_length_b
                  7.5600(10)
                  5.3637(7)
_cell_length_c
_cell_angle_alpha
                     90.00
                    90.00
cell angle beta
_cell_angle_gamma
                     90.00
_cell_volume
               448.33(10)
_cell_formula_units_Z
                         4
_cell_measurement_reflns_used
                                1243
_cell_measurement_theta_min
                              4.55
_cell_measurement_theta_max
                              32.6
_cell_measurement_temperature
                                293(2)
_cell_special_details
                         ?
```

```
_exptl_crystal_description
                                 Tabular
_exptl_crystal_colour
                           'Metallic Gray'
_exptl_crystal_size_max
                              0.06
_exptl_crystal_size_mid
                              0.06
_exptl_crystal_size_min
                              0.05
_exptl_crystal_density_diffrn
                                    5.315
_exptl_crystal_density_meas
                                  ?
_exptl_crystal_density_method
                                    'not measured'
_exptl_crystal_F_000
                          650
_exptl_absorpt_coefficient_mu
                                    12.055
_exptl_absorpt_correction_type
                                     multi-scan
_exptl_absorpt_process_details
                                     '(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2005)'
_exptl_absorpt_correction_T_min
                                      0.5316
_exptl_absorpt_correction_T_max
                                      0.5840
_exptl_special_details
                             ?
_diffrn_ambient_temperature
                                  293(2)
_diffrn_radiation_type
                            MoK∖a
_diffrn_radiation_wavelength
                                   0.71073
                               'fine-focus sealed tube'
_diffrn_radiation_source
_diffrn_radiation_monochromator
                                      graphite
_diffrn_measurement_device_type
                                      'Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector'
_diffrn_measurement_method
                                 ' f and w scan'
_diffrn_detector_area_resol_mean
                                       ?
_diffrn_reflns_number
                            3666
_diffrn_reflns_av_R_equivalents
                                      0.0259
_diffrn_reflns_av_sigmaI/netI
                                    0.0228
_diffrn_reflns_theta_min
                               4.22
_diffrn_reflns_theta_max
                               32.81
_diffrn_reflns_theta_full
                                32.81
_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_max
                                           0.994
_diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_full
                                            0.994
_diffrn_reflns_limit_h_min
                                 -15
_diffrn_reflns_limit_h_max
                                 16
_diffrn_reflns_limit_k_min
                                 -11
_diffrn_reflns_limit_k_max
                                 4
_diffrn_reflns_limit_l_min
                                 - 8
_diffrn_reflns_limit_l_max
                                 8
_diffrn_standards_number
                               0
_diffrn_standards_interval_count
_diffrn_standards_interval_time
_diffrn_standards_decay_%
                                ?
_refine_special_details
;
Refinement of <i>F</i>^2^ against ALL reflections. The weighted <i>R</i>-factor
<i>wR</i> and goodness of fit <i>S</i> are based on <i>F</i>^2^, conventional
<i>R</i>-factors <i>R</i> are based on <i>F</i>, with <i>F</i> set to zero for
negative \langle i \rangle F \langle /i \rangle^2. The threshold expression of \langle i \rangle F \langle /i \rangle^2.
\s(<i>F</i>^2^) is used only for calculating <i>R</i>-factors(gt) <i>etc</i>.
and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.
<i>R</i>-factors based on <i>F</i>^2^ are statistically about twice as large
as those based on \langle i > F \langle /i \rangle, and \langle i > R \langle /i \rangle- factors based on ALL data will be
even larger.
;
_reflns_number_total
                          883
_reflns_number_gt
                       737
_reflns_threshold_expression
                                   I>2\s(I)
_refine_ls_structure_factor_coef
                                       Fsqd
_refine_ls_matrix_type
                             full
                              0.0325
_refine_ls_R_factor_all
_refine_ls_R_factor_gt
                             0.0234
_refine_ls_wR_factor_gt
                              0.0519
_refine_ls_wR_factor_ref
                               0.0554
```

```
_refine_ls_goodness_of_fit_ref
                                   1.085
                                1.084
_refine_ls_restrained_S_all
_refine_ls_number_reflns
                             883
_refine_ls_number_parameters
                                 55
_refine_ls_number_restraints
                                  2
_refine_ls_hydrogen_treatment
_refine_ls_weighting_scheme
                                calc
_refine_ls_weighting_details
         'calc w=1/[(s^2^{(Fo^2^)}+(0.0239P)^2^++1.5250P] where P=(Fo^2^++2Fc^2^)/3'
_atom_sites_solution_hydrogens
_atom_sites_solution_primary
                                 direct
_atom_sites_solution_secondary
                                   difmap
_refine_ls_shift/su_max
                            0.001
_refine_ls_shift/su_mean
                             0.000
_refine_diff_density_max
                             2.068
_refine_diff_density_min
                              -0.799
_refine_ls_extinction_method
                                 none
_refine_ls_extinction_coef
loop_
    _atom_type_symbol
    _atom_type_description
    _atom_type_scat_dispersion_real
    _atom_type_scat_dispersion_imag
    _atom_type_scat_source
    '0' '0' 0.0106 0.0060
                         'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'Nb' 'Nb' -2.0727 0.6215
                          'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'Ti' 'Ti' 0.2776 0.4457
                          'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'Ce' 'Ce' -0.2486 2.6331
                          'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
    'Ca' 'Ca' 0.2262 0.3064
                          'International Tables Vol C Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4'
                               'APEX2 (Bruker, 2004)'
_computing_data_collection
_computing_cell_refinement
                               'SAINT (Bruker, 2004)'
_computing_data_reduction
                              'SAINT (Bruker, 2004)'
_computing_structure_solution
                                   'SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008)'
                                     'SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008)'
_computing_structure_refinement
_computing_molecular_graphics
                                   'XtalDraw (Downs & Hall-Wallace, 2003)'
_computing_publication_material
                                     'publCIF (Westrip, 2010)'
loop
    _atom_site_type_symbol
    _atom_site_label
    _atom_site_fract_x
    _atom_site_fract_y
    _atom_site_fract_z
    _atom_site_U_iso_or_equiv
    _atom_site_adp_type
    _atom_site_calc_flag
    _atom_site_refinement_flags
    _atom_site_occupancy
    _atom_site_symmetry_multiplicity
    _atom_site_disorder_assembly
    _atom_site_disorder_group
    Ce CeA 0.45727(9) 0.2500 0.03835(14) 0.00893(11) Uani d SP 0.75000(10)
                                                                           2...
    Ca CaA' 0.4338(9) 0.2500 0.050(2) 0.018(3) Uiso d SP 0.25000(10)
                                                                    2.
    Nb NbB 0.35726(3) 0.50690(5) 0.53830(8) 0.01227(11) Uani d P 0.57000(10)
                                                                             1..
    Ti TiB 0.35726(3) 0.50690(5) 0.53830(8) 0.01227(11) Uani d P 0.43000(10)
```

1 . .

```
O O1 0.2875(2) 0.4417(3) 0.8720(5) 0.0126(5) Uani d . 1 1 . .
    O O2 0.5259(2) 0.4615(3) 0.7310(4) 0.0105(4) Uani d . 1 1 . .
    0 03 0.6221(3) 0.2500 0.3389(7) 0.0124(7) Uani d S 1 2 . .
    0 04 0.3560(3) 0.2500 0.4526(7) 0.0123(6) Uani d S 1 2 . .
    Ce CeC 0.1586(16) 0.2500 0.578(3) 0.063(6) Uiso d SP 0.038(2) 2 . .
loop_
    _atom_site_aniso_label
    _atom_site_aniso_U_11
    atom site aniso U 22
    _atom_site_aniso_U_33
    _atom_site_aniso_U_12
    _atom_site_aniso_U_13
    _atom_site_aniso_U_23
    CeA 0.0122(3) 0.0059(2) 0.0087(2) 0.000 0.0005(2) 0.000
    NbB 0.01110(19) 0.01448(19) 0.01123(19) 0.00121(13) -0.00065(13) 0.00082(14)
    TiB 0.01110(19) 0.01448(19) 0.01123(19) 0.00121(13) -0.00065(13) 0.00082(14)
    01 \quad 0.0133(11) \quad 0.0109(10) \quad 0.0137(12) \quad 0.0014(9) \quad 0.0041(9) \quad 0.0014(10)
    02 0.0127(10) 0.0096(10) 0.0091(11) -0.0004(8) 0.0016(8) 0.0005(9)
    03 0.0114(15) 0.0062(14) 0.0195(19) 0.000 0.0016(13) 0.000
    04 0.0123(15) 0.0066(14) 0.0179(18) 0.000 0.0016(13) 0.000
_geom_special_details
All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes)
are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken
into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles
and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only
used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic)
treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s.
planes.
;
loop
    _geom_bond_atom_site_label_1
    _geom_bond_atom_site_label_2
    _geom_bond_site_symmetry_2
    _geom_bond_distance
    _geom_bond_publ_flag
    CeA 02 7_564 2.418(2) ?
    CeA O2 1_554 2.418(2) ?
    CeA 03 . 2.433(4) ?
    CeA 04 . 2.488(4) ?
    CeA 02 5_666 2.515(2) ?
    CeA 02 3_646 2.515(2) ?
    CeA 01 7_564 2.534(3) ?
    CeA 01 1_554 2.534(3) ?
    CaA' 04 . 2.327(12) ?
    CaA' 01 7_564 2.372(9) ?
    CaA' 01 1_554 2.372(9) ?
    CaA' 02 5_666 2.519(6) ?
    CaA' 02 3_646 2.519(6) ?
    CaA' 02 7_564 2.551(9) ?
    CaA' 02 1_554 2.551(9) ?
    CaA' 03 . 2.596(12) ?
    NbB 01 2_564 1.873(2) ?
    NbB 02 5 666 1.953(2) ?
    NbB O3 5_666 1.9655(14) ?
    NbB 04 . 1.9959(10) ?
    NbB 01 . 2.011(3) ?
    NbB 02 . 2.159(2) ?
    CeC O3 6_556 2.270(19) ?
    CeC 04 . 2.282(18) ?
    CeC 02 4_456 2.401(13) ?
```

```
CeC 02 6_557 2.401(13) ?
    CeC 01 . 2.573(16) ?
    CeC 01 7_565 2.573(15) ?
    CeC O1 8_655 2.647(9) ?
    CeC 01 2_564 2.647(9) ?
loop_
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_1
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_2
    _geom_angle_atom_site_label_3
    _geom_angle_site_symmetry_1
    _geom_angle_site_symmetry_3
    _geom_angle
    _geom_angle_publ_flag
    O1 NbB O2 2_564 5_666 100.81(11) ?
    O1 NbB O3 2_564 5_666 93.71(13) ?
    O2 NbB O3 5_666 5_666 93.23(13) ?
    O1 NbB O4 2_564 . 94.95(13) ?
    O2 NbB O4 5_666 . 87.34(13) ?
    O3 NbB O4 5_666 . 171.06(15) ?
    O1 NbB O1 2_564 . 98.46(6) ?
    O2 NbB O1 5 666 . 160.48(10) ?
    O3 NbB O1 5_666 . 88.61(13) ?
    O4 NbB O1 . . 87.91(13) ?
    O1 NbB O2 2_564 . 177.17(10) ?
    O2 NbB O2 5_666 . 78.59(11) ?
    O3 NbB O2 5_666 . 83.57(12) ?
    O4 NbB O2 . . 87.80(12) ?
    O1 NbB O2 . . 82.32(10) ?
data_global
_journal_date_recd_electronic
                                  2012-06-07
                           2012-07-11
_journal_date_accepted
_journal_name_full
                     'Acta Crystallographica, Section E'
_journal_year
                  2012
_journal_volume
                    68
_journal_issue
                    8
_journal_page_first
                        0
_journal_page_last
                       0
_journal_paper_category
                            QI
                     10.1107/S1600536812031765
_journal_paper_doi
_journal_coeditor_code
                           WM2645
_publ_contact_author_name
                               'Shaunna M. Morrison'
_publ_contact_author_address
;
Department of Geosciences
Gould-Simpson Building
University of Arizona
1040 E. 4th Street
Tucson, Az 85721-0077
USA
;
_publ_contact_author_email
                               shaunnamm@email.arizona.edu
_publ_contact_author_fax
                             520-621-2672
_publ_contact_author_phone
                               520-621-9993
_publ_section_title
;
Nioboaeschynite-(Ce), Ce(NbTi)0~6~
;
loop_
    _publ_author_name
    _publ_author_address
    'Morrison, Shaunna M.'
;
```

```
Department of Geosciences,
University of Arizona,
1040 E. 4th Street,
Tucson, Arizona 85721-0077, USA
;
    'Downs, Robert T.'
;
Department of Geosciences,
University of Arizona,
1040 E. 4th Street,
Tucson, Arizona 85721-0077, USA
;
    'Domanik, Kenneth J.'
;
Lunar and Planetary Laboratory,
University of Arizona,
1629 E. University Boulevard,
Tucson, AZ 85721-0092, USA
;
    'Yang, Hexiong'
;
Department of Geosciences,
University of Arizona,
1040 E. 4th Street,
Tucson, Arizona 85721-0077, USA
;
    'Doell, Donald'
;
122 Dublin Street
Peterborough, Ontario, K9H 3A9, Canada
;
```