A New Denoising Algorithm Based on Extreme Learning Machine

Zhiyong Fan[1,2], Quansen Sun[1], Zexuan Ji[1], Feng Ruan[2], Jin Wang[2]

[1] Nanjing University of Science & Technology, Nanjing 210000, China
[2] Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing 210044, China

Abstract. A new image denoising algorithm is proposed. GA-ELM algorithm uses genetic algorithm (GA) to decide weights in the Extreme learning Machine algorithm. It has better global optimal characteristics than traditional optimal algorithm. In this paper, we used GA-ELM to do image denoising researching work. Firstly, this paper uses training samples to train GA-ELM as the noise detector. Then, we utilize the well-trained GA-ELM to recognize noise pixels in target image. And at last, an adaptive weighted average algorithm is used to recover noise pixels recognized by GA-ELM. Experiment data shows that this algorithm has better performance than other denoising algorithm.

1 Introduction

Digital images are frequently corrupted by impulse noise during image gaining or transmission. Preservation of image details and attenuation of noise are the two important requirements of image processing, but they are contradictory in nature. So this research emphasis is on the removal of impulse noise while lessening the loss of details as low as possible.

Compared with traditional algorithms, nonlinear techniques have superiority and provide more satisfactory results while preserving image details. The most basic nonlinear filter is the standard median (SM) filter [1]. It replaces each pixel in the image by the median value of the corresponding neighborhood window centered at this pixel. The SM filter works effectively for low noise densities but at the cost of blurring the image. One solution to this problem is the weighted median (WM) filter [2] which gives more weight to some values within the window than others. It emphasizes or deemphasizes specific samples, because in most applications, not all samples are equally important. The special case of the WM filter is the centre weighted median (CWM) [3] filter which gives more weight only to the centre value of the window. However, these filters do not perform well at higher noise densities. Besides, the filtered image is blurred with poor preservation of the image details. Each pixel of the image is considered to be noisy which may not be in practice. These filters do not detect whether a pixel in the image is actually corrupted by impulse or not and simply replace each pixel by the median value. A better tactic to avoid this drawback is to incorporate some decision making or switching action in the filtering. Firstly, it finds out whether each pixel is contaminated or not. Then, recovery method is applied on

the pixel only if it is corrupted by noise. Corrupted pixels are replaced by the median values, while the noise-free pixels are left unaltered. Since not every pixel is filtered, undue distortion can be avoided as far as possible.

In recent years, there been having many researchers try to combine GA with Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs), to find an effective solution to complex problem and have a better understanding to the relations between learning and evolution, which become an active topic in the field of artificial life [3-6].

This paper proposes making use of gene algorithm to improve backpropagation neural networks to find the most suitable network connection weights and network, then form a GA-ELM model and apply it to image denoising. The experiment points out that it gains good performance in image denoising.

2 Related Theory

2.1 Noise Model

The classical salt and pepper noise is added to the value interval in $[0,255] \cup [255-\delta, 255]$, where δ is 0 or a small positive integer. The model for images with noise is described as follows [7]:

$$I_{i,j} = \begin{cases} u_{i,j} & p \\ I_{i,j}^0 & 1-p \end{cases} \qquad (1)$$

where $I_{i,j}$ is the image with noise, $I_{i,j}^0$ is the original part of $I_{i,j}$, $u_{i,j}$ is the noise part of $I_{i,j}$ (with usual value of 0 or 255), and p is the noise density.

2.2 Rank-Ordered Logarithmic Difference

In the literatures [15], Dong et al. proposed a new local image statistic ROLD which is based on ROAD feature [16]. It can identify more noisy pixels with less false hits and can be well applied to deal with random-valued impulse noise. Simulation results show that it outperforms a number of existing methods both visually and quantitatively. Based on this report, we adopt it in our algorithm. Its definition is shown as follows.

(1) Map the gray value of image $I_{i,j}$ to a value of $[0,1]$ by linear transformation, that is, $u(m) \in [0,1]$. This is the gray value of the pixel in image u at position m. The image has a window with its center on pixel $u(m)$, and its size is $v(2d+1) \times (2d+1)$; pixel $u(n)$ is one pixel in the window. The distance between the two pixels u and m is defined as

$$D_{m,n} = 1 + \frac{\max\left\{\log_a \left|u(m) - u(n)\right|, -b\right\}}{b}, n \in N_m^0(d) \qquad (2)$$

where $a, b > 0$. The best distinction is achieved when $a = 2$ and $b = 5$.

(2) Sort all $D_{m,n}$, in a window in a descending order. If noise density $p > 25\%$, then ROLD is the sum of the biggest 12 values sorted under a $5 * 5$ window size. Otherwise, it is the sum of the biggest 4 values that are sorted under a $3 * 3$ window size. For a variation between the noise pixel and its adjacent pixel in the window, the ROLD value will be a high integer. Based on this value, the ROLD of a normal pixel should be smaller for the consistency between itself and its adjacent pixel. Therefore, ROLD serves as an important definition of pixels and distinguishes between noise pixels and normal pixels.

3   Our work

3.1   GA-ELM

Huang and Zhu[9] proposed a simple learning method for SLFNs called Extreme Learning Machine (ELM) can be summarized as follows:

Algorithm ELM: Given a training set $\aleph = \left\{\left(x_{i,}t_i\right)\middle|x_i \in R^n, t_i \in R^m, i = 1, \cdots, N\right\}$, activation function $g(x)$, and hidden node number $\tilde{N}$,

Step 1: Randomly assign input weight w_i and bias $b_i, i = 1, \cdots, \tilde{N}$.

Step 2: Calculate the hidden layer output matrix H.

Step 3: Calculate the output weight β

$$\hat{\beta} = H^\dagger T \qquad (3)$$

Where $T = \left[t_1, \cdots t_N\right]^T$.

GA-ELM algorithm uses genetic algorithm (GA) to decide weights in the Extreme learning Machine algorithm. It has better global optimal characteristics than standard ELM algorithm.

3.2 Denoising algorithm

Noise pixels should be replaced by estimating the original value, and better noise filtering is generally achieved when the estimated value is closer to the original value. In this paper, we used an adaptive weighted average algorithm which varies for windows size and distance. This algorithm works as follows.

(1) Set a filter window W_f where the size is $W_s \times W_s$ is an odd value with a value of ≥ 3); its original size is $3 * 3$, and its center is on the pixel of the image. If the central

point is not a noise point, shift the window w_f to make its central point be the next pixel of the image. Go to Step (2) when the central point is a noise point.

(2)Calculate the sum M of unpolluted pixels in w_f. If $M > 9$ or $M > \frac{(W_s \times W_s + 1)}{2}$, go to Step (4). If not, go to Step (3).

(3) Resize w_f, $W_s = W_s + 2$, and go back to Step (2).

(4) Using M unpolluted pixels, calculate the output $L_{(i+s,j+t)}$ using formula (4), and replace the noise pixel. Consider the following:

$$L_{(i+s,j+t)} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{M} W_p(s,t) I_{(i,j)}^0 (i+s,j+t)}{\sum_{n=1}^{M} W_p(s,t)} \qquad (4)$$

where $I_{(i,j)}^0 (i+s,j+t)$ represents an unpolluted pixel value, with position $(i+s,j+t)$ and window center on (i,j). $W_p(s,t)$ is the weight; a pixel is more important if it is closer to the noise point. Therefore,

$$W_p(s,t) = \frac{1}{|s| + |t|} \qquad (5)$$

4   Experiment

Add 50% noise density to 'cameraman'. Use several algorithms, including the proposed algorithm, to filter these noised images, and show them in Figure 1. Compare the results subjectively; Figures 1(b), 1(c), and 1(d) are blurred seriously. In contrast, our method performs better and can suppress the noise successfully while preserving more details. It is obvious that Figure 1(e) has amazing performance in recovery compared to other traditional algorithms. This points out that our algorithm has better performance than other traditional algorithms when the normal image is highly corrupted.

(a)   Noised image (50%)   (b)   SMF   (c)   PSMF

(d) AMF	(e) Our algorithm	(f) Original image

Fig.1. Cameraman' with 50% noise density.

5   Conclusion

This paper proposes a new denoising algorithm which uses GA-ELM as noise detector and ROLD feature as input. According to the result of experiment, compared with some other traditional image denoising methods, the algorithm this paper proposed performed effectively in aspects of noise removal and detail preservation, and its merit will be more obvious under higher noise densities.

References

1. R. C. Gonzalez, R. E. Woods, and S. L. Eddins, Digital Image Processing Using MATLAB, Pearson Education India, 2004.
2. T. Sun and Y. Neuvo, "Detail-preserving median based filters in image processing," Pattern Recognition Letters, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 341–347, 1994.
3. E. Abreu, M. Lightstone, S. K. Mitra, and K. Arakawa, "A new efficient approach for the removal of impulse noise from highly corrupted images," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, vol.5, no. 6, pp. 1012–1025, 1996.
4. C. Xing, S. Wang, and H. Deng, "A new filtering algorithm based on extremum and median value," Journal of Image and Graphics,
vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 533–536, 2001.
5. Z. Wang and D. Zhang, "Progressive switching median filter for the removal of impulse noise from highly corrupted images," IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 78–80, 1999.
6. R.H. Chan, C. W. Ho, and M. Nikolova, "Salt-and-pepper noise removal by median-type noise detectors and detail-preserving regularization," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 1479–1485, 2005.
7. Y. Dong, R. H. Chan, and S. Xu, "A detection statistic for random-valued impulse noise," IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 1112–1120, 2007.
8 N. Z. Janah and B. Baharudin, "Mixed impulse fuzzy filter based on MAD, ROAD, and genetic algorithms," in Proceedings of the International Conference on Soft Computing and Pattern Recognition (SoCPaR' 09), pp. 82–87, December 2009.

9. G.-B. Huang, Q. Y. Zhu, C. K. Siew. Extreme learning machine: Theory and applications[J]. eurocomputing, 2006, 70: 489 ～ 501.