

Ship to Ship Maritime Communication for e-Navigation Using IEEE 802.16j

Myeong Soo Choi¹, Beom-mu Kim², Kyung Ho Kim³,
Min A Jeong⁴, and Seong Ro Lee²

¹ Institute of Information Science and Engineering Research, Mokpo National University,
61 Dorim-ri, Cheonggye-myeon, Jeonnam, Korea
mschoi@mokpo.ac.kr

² Dept. of Electronics Engineering, Mokpo National University,
61 Dorim-ri, Cheonggye-myeon, Jeonnam, Korea
{ktiger1907, srlee}@mokpo.ac.kr

³ Dept. of Information and Communication Engineering, Mokpo National University,
61 Dorim-ri, Cheonggye-myeon, Jeonnam, Korea
khkim@mokpo.ac.kr

⁴ Dept. of Computer Engineering, Mokpo National University,
61 Dorim-ri, Cheonggye-myeon, Jeonnam, Korea
majung@mokpo.ac.kr

Abstract. Maritime wireless communication is different from terrestrial wireless communication, due to difference of environments. In this paper focuses to simulate on IEEE 802.16j for ship to ship maritime communication under various sea states. We describe BER level according to sea states under Rician fading channel model. BER patterns are designed using MATLAB.

Keywords: IEEE 802.16j, e-Navigation, Maritime Communication, Ship to Ship, WiMAX

1 Introduction

Recently, the maritime communication between ship to ship/shore is technically limited at a high cost. In this respect, various research about how to reduce a high cost is in progress. To solve this problem, we adopt IEEE 802.16 over the maritime environment. The IEEE 802.16[1][2] aims to provide a high transmission rate and wide coverage for wireless communications. Current maritime wireless communication systems are based on MF, HF, VHF radios for ship to shore communications offshore, and satellite communications for long range ship-to-ship and ship-to-shore communications. These maritime radio technologies cannot support the e-Navigation service due to the lack of bandwidth. And using satellite is too expensive for commercial services. To solve these problems, improved maritime communication technology is needed to transmit large multimedia data.

We present WiMAX (IEEE 802.16j) technology and existing maritime wireless communication technology in section II, In section III, we introduce system

description for our simulation, in section IV, we present simulation results using MATLAB. Finally in section V, we conclude this paper.

2 WiMAX and Maritime wireless communications

2.1 WiMAX (IEEE 802.16j)

The relevant standards include IEEE 802.16d, 802.16e, 802.16j, etc. The 802.16d targets at the fixed wireless access while the 802.16e counterpart is designed to support the mobile applications. It is known that the single hop communication is often of limited geographical size. In this respect, a relay approach by introducing the low-cost relay station (RS) into the 802.16e network to increase range and enhance transmission capacity between a base station (BS) and mobile station (MS) in a cost-effective manner is highly desirable[3]. Such RS-enabled multi-hop networks have been the focus of the recently established IEEE 802.16j standard working group [4][5][6]. Specifically, this standard is based on the legacy 802.16e but extends it to support the mobile multi-hop relay (MMR) operation. Due to its high transmission rate, wide coverage, mobility and flexible deployment, the 802.16j MMR network are regarded as a promising alternative for broadband wireless access in a wide area, especially in a maritime environment. An IEEE 802.16j network includes three types of stations, i.e., BS, RS and MS. The MS is also called as the end user station, While all the other stations are called as the RSs. The station to which an RS transmits in the uplink is its superordinate station while transmits in the downlink is its subordinate station. In such a mobile multi-hop transmission network, the RS plays a critical role in forwarding traffic between BS and MS[3].

2.2 Maritime wireless communications

Conventional maritime wireless communication is based on voice communication, which is using radio devices of MF, HF, VHF and satellite system. The radio devices loaded to a vessel are decided according to the size and sailing area of vessels. IMO and ITU defined these radio types for sailing vessels[7].

Table 1. IMO definition of sea area[7]

Sea Area	Technology	Communication Coverage
A1	VHF	Coast (50~100Km)
A2	MF	Offshore (200~400Km)
A3	INMARSAT/HF	North Latitude 70° -South Latitude 70°
A4	RPIRB, HF	Worldwide

IMO defined the maritime wireless communication according to technology and communication coverage as in table I. A1 and A2 are massive complex areas of each country where small ships are sailing. A3 and A4 areas are ocean where large ships are sailing[7].

TRITON[8] implemented mesh network for maritime communication using a ship, lighthouse, buoys as communication nodes based on IEEE 802.16 and IEEE 802.16e. Current satellite systems such as the INMARSAT GAN system provides only up to 64 kbps of data bandwidth per data link. And that bandwidth is shared among the nodes, so the real bandwidth becomes lower[8].

ESA (European Space Agency)[9] introduced Wired Ocean Project. They suggest low cost and broadband IP based TV, internet and communication services using hybrid satellite and DVB (Digital Video Broadcast) systems in the ocean. But the cost is still expensive due to Wired Ocean needed TVRO (TV Receive Only) antenna for implementation.

3 System Description

In a maritime wireless communication environment, the channel state or quality over a communication link is affected by three attenuation factors, i.e., path loss, path shadowing and multipath fading[1][10]. Path loss is due to the propagation distance between the transmitter and receiver station although the communication link between them is in the line of sight (LOS) of the transmitter. Path shadowing is caused by the large obstacles between the transmitter and receiver station and may cause more attenuation than path loss. Multipath fading is caused by the multiple receptions of the original signal due to the sea surface reflection[3].




Fig. 1. Three types of signal propagation manners, i.e., LOS, shadowing and reflection propagation[3].

Two stations A and B are placed over the sea surface. Let A denote a transmitter station and B represent a receiver station. According to the typical sine wave movement pattern[10] of the sea surface, each station goes up and down along sea surface in a periodical manner.

To determine the signal propagation manner between station A and B, we should first determine their positions. As for the coordinate system used in Fig. 1, point O(0, 0) denotes the selected coordinate origin. $X_i(t)$ and $Y_i(t)$ ($i = A$ or B) denote the

horizontal and vertical coordinate of the station respectively. The vertical coordinate $Y_B(t)$ of station B is determined according to the sea surface wave movement as

$$Y_B(t) = a \sin[2\pi * \text{mod}(X_B(t), A)/A + 2\pi * \text{mod}(t, T)/T] \quad (1)$$

Where parameters a , A and T denote the wave amplitude, wave length and wave cycle of the sea surface movement[3].

Ocean waves are dependent upon the wind. These ocean waves relate to the motion of a ship in the sea. Ships tend to have some form of rocking motion, which affects the communication link between the ship and the shore. The ocean wave is often depicted as a typical sine wave movement pattern; however, by experiments the wave shape is described as a Trochoid, whose properties are known to be similar to that of sea waves. The roughness of the sea surface are measured by so called sea states, which is characterized by parameters of significant sea wave height, average sea wave length, and average sea wave period. In this paper, since we do not have any real data, we use the sea state data from Pierson-Moskowitz sea spectrum[11].

Table 2. Sea State Parameters[11]

Sea State	Wind Speed (knots)	Average wave period (sec)	Significant wave height (m)	Average wave length (m)
4	18	5	1.83	24.1
5	21	5.5	2.4	32
6	27	7.5	4.3	56.1
7	37	10	7.62	100.13
8	49	13	13.72	180.1

On the other hand, due to the reflective nature of sea surface, the reflected component of the signal is influenced by the sea state conditions. It is expected that in calm sea conditions (sea state 1 through 4) the signal offset is negligible. However, as the significant wave heights increase the severity of the sea conditions also change and the signal offset in the receiver is probable to worsen, since the incoming wavefronts will be scattered due to rough sea conditions[12].

4 Simulation and Results

In this section, we present the simulation environments and results, with underlying assumptions for the proposed techniques to improve system performance of a ship to ship based maritime communication system. Performance is evaluated, in terms of BER with respect to E_b/N_o . Maritime wireless communication is different from terrestrial wireless communication, due to sea surface reflection, fading, time delay, Doppler shift, sea state and rocking motion of ships. Our simulation model was applied to that condition under Rician fading channel. For our simulation, we use the sea state data from Pierson-Moscowitz sea spectrum. Fig. 2. show our simulation model. In our simulation model, we assume that base station is on the berth, relay stations and mobile stations are sailing near the port.




Fig. 2. Simulation model

We simulated performance of two transmit, single receive system without power loss, time delay and Doppler shift under sea state conditions at Rician fading channel, with $K=0, 5$. Figure 3 (A) and (B) shows simulation results respectively.




Fig. 3. Performance of two transmit, single receive system without power loss, time delay and Doppler shift under sea state conditions, A: with $K=0$, B: with $K=5$.

The figures show the performance of the enhancement achieved with two transmit, single receive systems. It is evident that the performance of the system improves drastically with the diversity. The slope of the BER graph indicates the degree of diversity achieved, this is characterized by the performance improvement in BER. Moreover, the figures above also show the performance of the system with different channel parameters. Hence, it is apparent from the results that sea states 4, 5 and 6 are appropriate for communication and performance can be improved in these conditions, however, at sea states 7 and 8 communication becomes unfeasible.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce ship to ship maritime wireless communication based on IEEE 802.16j for e-Navigation service. Current ship to ship communication systems are based on MF, HF, VHF radios. These maritime radio technologies cannot support

the e-Navigation service due to lack of bandwidth. To solve this problem, we propose to adopt IEEE 802.16j based maritime ship to ship communication for e-Navigation.

This paper is aimed to improve the performance of IEEE 802.16j based maritime ship to ship communication for e-Navigation. Performance is evaluated, in terms of BER under various sea states. From the results obtained; it can be concluded that IEEE 802.16j technique is feasible in maritime communication for e-Navigation.

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by the MSIP(Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning), Korea, under the C-ITRC(Convergence Information Technology Research Center) support program (NIPA-2013-H0401-13-2006) supervised by the NIPA(National IT Industry Promotion Agency).

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (No.2011-0023364).

References

1. Jeffery G. Andrews, Arunabha Ghosh and Rias Muhamed : Fundamentals of WiMAX: Understanding Broadband Wireless Networking, Prentice Hall (2007).
2. Loutfi Nuaymi : WiMAX: Technology for Broadband Wireless Access, WILEY (2007).
3. H. Wang, W. Jia, and G. Min : Effective Cannel Exploitation in IEEE 802.16j Networks for Maritime Communications, 31st International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDSC), pp. 162-171, Minneapolis, MN (2011).
4. IEEE 802.16j, IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks Part 16: Air Interface for Fixed and Mobile Broadband Wireless Access System Multi-hop Relay Specification, IEEE Working Group (2007).
5. S. W. Peters and R. W. Heath : The Future of WiMAX: Multihop Relaying with IEEE 802.16j, IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 104-111 (2009).
6. H. Wang and W. Jia : Effective Traffic Control in IEEE 802.16j WiMAX Networks, IEEE 18th International Workshop on Quality of Service (IWQoS 2010), Beijing, PRC, pp. 1-5 (2010).
7. N. Yun, T. Park, K. Jung, B. T. Jang, D. S. Lim, and J. M. Kim : Simulation Analysis on BER Patterns Based Maritime Ad-hoc Networks, Future Information Technology, Application, and Service, Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, Vol. 164, pp. 629-635 (2012).
8. J. S. Pathmasuntharam, P. Y. Kong, M. T. Zhou, Y. Ge, H. Wang, C. W. Ang, W. Su, and H. Harada, "TRITON: High Speed Maritime Mesh Networks", IEEE 19th International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, 2008 (PIMRC 2008) (2008).
9. Wired Ocean-ESA website,
<http://telecom/esa/int/telecom/www/object/index.cfm?fobjectid=9121>.
10. S. Wen, P. Y. Kong, Shankar J., H. Wang, Y. Ge and C. W. Ang, A Novel Framework to Simulate Maritime Wireless Communication Networks, IEEE/MTS OCEANS 2007, pp. 1-6, Vancouver, BC (2007).
11. Pierson-Moskowitz Sea Spectrum,
<http://www.sygwestinc.comsupport/Sea%20State%20Table.htm>.
12. K. Tushar, S. Yoon, and S. R. Lee, Compensating the Effect of Ship Rocking in Maritime Ship-to-Shore Communication, The Journal of Korea Information and Communication Society, Vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 271-277 (2013).