

Lemma 1. By definition,

$$\varphi = (1 + f) L_i / (1 + r) C_{is} \quad (15)$$

From the balance sheet identity  $C_i = E_{R,i} + L_i$ , loan supply of bank  $i$  is given by

$$C_{is} = \frac{E_{R,i}}{1 - \frac{1+r}{1+f}\varphi} \quad (16)$$

Aggregating across all regional banks, the aggregate loan supply by the regional banks is

$$C_s = \frac{E_R}{1 - \frac{1+r}{1+f}\varphi} \quad (17)$$

where  $E_R$  is the aggregate own funds of all regional banks. Equation (17) is not yet a complete solution, as  $f$  is endogenous and determined by market clearing of the wholesale lending market.

Under the incentive compatibility constraint, the asset realisations follow the distribution  $F_G(\cdot)$ , so that the probability of default by the bank is given by  $F_G(\varphi)$ , where  $\varphi$  is the solution given by Lemma 1. Denoting by  $\alpha$  the bank's probability of default, we have  $\alpha = F_G(\varphi)$  so that

$$\alpha = \Phi\left(\frac{\Phi^{-1}(\varepsilon) + \sqrt{1 - \rho}\Phi^{-1}(\varphi)}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right) \quad (18)$$

Since  $\varphi$  is uniquely solved by Lemma 1, and  $\rho$  is a parameter of the contracting problem,  $\alpha$  is also uniquely defined once we solve for the probability of default  $\varepsilon$  of the corporate borrowers, which depends on the exchange rate.

### 2.2.5 Global banking system

We now introduce global banks in a “double-decker” version of the Vasicek model. There are many regions and each global bank has a diversified portfolio of loans across many regions. However, the global banks bear global risk that cannot be diversified away.

The unit square in Figure 8 represents the population of borrowers across all regions. Regional bank  $k$  holds a portfolio that is diversified against idiosyncratic shocks, but not to regional shocks. Global banks hold a portfolio of loans to regional banks, and is diversified against regional shocks, but it faces undiversifiable global risk.