

N . The second is the *sustainability* of the production chain once the final product begins earning cash flow from date $N + 1$ onwards.

2.1 Recursive Moral Hazard

Once the final product begins to generate a cash flow, the revenue cascades back up the production chain. A firm has the choice of two actions - high effort or low effort. Low effort by firm i can be interpreted as the decision to economize on the cost of producing firm i 's intermediate good, and to divert the resulting cost saving to alternative uses that result in private benefit, but is detrimental to the success of the final output.⁵

Denote by p_i the per-period payment received by firm i from firm $i - 1$ for delivery of the intermediate good. In turn, firm i pays p_{i+1} to its own supplier, firm $i + 1$. By exerting low effort, firm i enjoys per-period private benefit of

$$bw_i \tag{1}$$

where $b > 0$ is common to all firms. If firm i exerts low effort today, the probability of obsolescence increases to π^L once the final good goes on sale $i + 1$ periods ahead. When firm i has exerted high effort at every date in the past, the expected payoff from exerting high effort at all subsequent dates is

$$(p_i - p_{i+1} - w_i) \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} (1 - \pi^H)^\tau \tag{2}$$

The payoff to deviating to low effort today for one period is

$$bw_i + (p_i - p_{i+1} - w_i) \left(\sum_{\tau=0}^i (1 - \pi^H)^\tau + (1 - \pi^L) \sum_{\tau=i}^{\infty} (1 - \pi^H)^\tau \right) \tag{3}$$

⁵ See Holmstrom and Tirole (1997) and Tirole (2005) for developments of such models in the bilateral contracting context.